

Binding Strengths

For two entities X and Y that are adjacent in an expression (that is, XY), the binding strength between them and the result of the bind is shown in this table:

		Υ													
		Α		F		Н		МОР		DOP		DOT		IDX	
X	Α	6	Α	3	AF	3	AF	4	F			7	REF	4	Α
	F	2	Α	1	F	4	F	4	F					4	F
	Н			1	F	4	F	4	F					4	Н
	AF	2	Α	1	F										
	МОР					4	ERR								
	DOP	5	MOP	5	MOP	5	MOP								
	JOT	5	МОР	5	МОР	5	МОР	4	F						
	DOT	6	ERR	5	МОР	5	МОР			6	ERR				
	REF	7	Α	7	F	7	Н	7	MOP	7	DOP				
	IDX	3	ERR	3	ERR	3	ERR		•		•				

where:

A : *Array, for example, 0 1 2 'hello' $\alpha \omega$

F : *Function (primitive/defined/derived/system), for example, $+ - + \cdot \times myfn \square CR \{\alpha \omega\}$

H : *Hybrid function/operator, that is, / / \ \

AF : Bound left argument, for example, 2+

MOP : *Monadic operator, for example, " ≈ &

DOP : Dyadic operator, for example, * ⋮ ∘ ∃

JOT : Jot, that is, compose/null operand •

DOT : Dot, that is, reference/product .

IDX : square-bracketed expression, for example, $[\alpha + \iota \omega]$

ERR : Error

* indicates a "first-class" entity, which can be parenthesised or named

In this table:

- the higher the number, the stronger the binding
- an empty field indicates no binding for this combination; an error.

For example, in the expression a b.c[d], where a, b, c and d are arrays, the binding proceeds:

Note: Binding strengths can vary between APL distributions. For example, the expression a b c[d] binds as (a b c)[d] in Dyalog (and other pre-nested array APLs such as APL\360) rather than as a b (c[d]), which is preferred by APL2 and APLX (among others).